

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,731	07/16/2003	Wesley M. Mays	125426-1079	9032
7590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER		
KENNETH R. GLASER MICHAEL E. MARTIN GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP 1601 ELM STREET, SUITE 3000 DALLAS, TX 75201			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/620,731	MAYS, WESLEY M.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Blair M. Johnson	3634				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE M Extensi after St If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly received by the Office later than three months after the mail patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·					
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	December 2004.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
5)⊠ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 44 and 46-56 is/are pending in the act a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrestaim(s) 46 and 47 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 44,45 and 48-56 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Application	n Papers						
9)[] TI	ne specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
А	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊡ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documer. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burese the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of 3) D Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/620,731

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 44 and 48-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen in view of Isobe et al.

In Cohen, see base control circuit 110, base transmitter 212, base receiver 210, remote unit 170 having a remote receiver 310 and a remote transmitter 312, a signal processor 200, and remote switch 318. It is clear from the entire specification of Cohen that the base unit and the remote unit contact each other continuously while within range. While Cohen specifically states that his device is used to close a door after the remote control has left receiving and transmitting range, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art that communication between the base unit and the remote units could also serve to open the door upon reentry of the remote unit into base unit range. The concept and technology presented by Cohen are the same. Consequently, movement into and out of range effects opening and closing, depending on the last stored position, of the door.

What is not shown is use of two remote units. However, such is well known as illustrated by Isobe et al at 11,11a. It would have been obvious to provide Cohen with two remote units so as to be usable with two vehicles. Programming the units so that

one or both remote units are communicated with together is clearly within the scope of Cohen.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As stated above, Cohen has devised a system wherein a base unit and remote unit reciprocally communicate. This is the concept presently claimed. Modifying Cohen whereby his units communicate at all times so as to open as well as close the door is well within this concept.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0526. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (703) 308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3634

BMJ 4/4/05